

Proposal Title :	Rezoning and Additional Perm	itted Uses on Land at Glen	worth Valley	
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks t environmentally sustainable to at Glenworth Valley.		ased recreational activities, extensive agricultural uses on land	
	This is to be achieved by ame	nding Gosford LEP 2014 to:	:	
	- rezone some parts of the site	from E2 Environmental Co	onservation to RU2 Rural Landscape;	
	- include recreation facilities (outdoor); eco-tourist facilities, camping grounds, tourist and visitor accommodation and extensive agriculture as additional permitted uses in Schedule 1 for the E2 zoned land;			
	- include eco-tourist facilities, additional permitted uses in S		rist and visitor accommodation as d land.	
PP Number :	PP_2014_GOSFO_006_00	Dop File No :	14/08000	
oposal Details	PP_2014_GOSFO_006_00	Dop File No :	14/08000	
	PP_2014_GOSFO_006_00 07-Jul-2014	Dop File No : LGA covered :	14/08000 Gosford	
oposal Details Date Planning				
oposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received :	07-Jui-2014	LGA covered :	Gosford	
oposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region :	07-Jui-2014 Hunter	LGA covered : RPA :	Gosford Gosford City Council	
oposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region : State Electorate : LEP Type :	07-Jul-2014 Hunter GOSFORD	LGA covered : RPA :	Gosford Gosford City Council	
oposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region : State Electorate :	07-Jul-2014 Hunter GOSFORD	LGA covered : RPA :	Gosford Gosford City Council	

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Glenn Hornal
Contact Number :	0243485009
Contact Email :	glenn.hornal@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Bruce Ronan
Contact Number :	0243258176
Contact Email :	bruce.ronan@gosford.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION Council was requested to provide additional information in relation to its request for delegations and potential employment numbers generated by the proposal. Council provided this information on 6 June 2014.		
	Council submitted a planning pro Glenworth Valley as Council had i Investment – Crown Lands). How	not received a response from	the landowner (Trade and

	and included these sites and will consult with Crown Lands should a Gateway Determination be issued. Council resolved to refer the matter to its Chief Executive Office to be considered under delegated authority. Council provided the updated planning proposal which included the Crown Land sites on 7 July 2014.	r:
dequacy Assessr	nent	
Statement of the	objectives - s55(2)(a)	
ls a statement of th	e objectives provided? Yes	
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to allow a range of nature based recreational activities, environmentally sustainable tourist accommodation and extensive agricultural uses at Glenworth Valley.	
Explanation of p	rovisions provided - s55(2)(b)	
ls an explanation o	f provisions provided? Yes	
Comment :	Council proposes to amend Gosford LEP 2014 by rezoning some land from E2 to RU2 a include a number of additional permitted uses in Schedule 1 for the E2 and RU2 land.	nd
	The additional permitted uses for the E2 zoned lands are:	
	- recreation facilities (outdoor) - eco-tourist facilities	
	- camping grounds	
	 tourist and visitor accommodation extensive agriculture 	
	The additional permitted uses for the RU2 zoned lands are:	
	- eco-tourist facilities	
	- camping grounds - tourist and visitor accommodation	
	There are a large number of lots affected by the planning proposal as well as a number split zoned lots and Council does not propose to list all lots in Schedule 1 as the lots w be identified on the Additional Permitted Use Map.	
	It is noted that not all the lots identified in the planning proposal are located in the sub of Glenworth Valley, some lots are located in Calga. Council is required to update the proposal accordingly to identify the planning proposal will also apply to some lots in Calga.	цр
	45 Cooks Road, Calga (Lot A DP365595) The proposal excludes this parcel of land located adjacent to Cooks Road which is the main access road into Glenworth Valley. The landowner wrote to Council requesting th lot be included in the planning proposal prior to the planning proposal being sent to th Department for a Gateway. Council advised (in January) it was too late to include any amendments as Council had already resolved to proceed with the planning proposal ar the landowner should write a formal submission during exhibition for consideration by Council should a Gateway Determination be issued.	e Id
	The lot is zoned RU2 and similar to other RU2 land in the vicinity that was included in the proposal. It is recommended Council consider including 45 Cooks Road, Calga (Lot A DP365595) in the planning proposal and update the associated maps to include this lot prior to exhibition.	
Justification - s5	5 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's str	ategy been agreed to by the Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions	identified by RPA : 1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Dire	tor General's agreement 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	

Rezoning and Additional Permitted Uses on Land at Glenworth Valley			
	÷	 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 	
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required	? Unknown	
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) (Order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?		SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SREP No 9—Extractive Industry (No 2—1995) SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) SREP No. 8 - Central Coast Plateau Areas	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :			
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) be	ing adequately justified? Unknown	
If No, explain :	Further discussio	n of S117 Directions and SEPPs is provided later in the report.	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)		
ls mapping provided? Y	'es		
Comment :	The mapping prov	ided is adequate for assessment.	
	There are some er	rors in the mapping provided:	
	 Appendix 2 Existing Zoning Map contains zones from IDO No 122 prior to the gazettal of Gosford LEP 2014 in February 2014. Council is required to update the map and use the current zones under Gosford LEP 2014. Appendix 3 Proposed E2 Zoning under Draft Gosford LEP (as exhibited) contains the exhibited zones and is unnecessary given the Gosford LEP 2014 has been gazetted. Council should delete this map from the planning proposal. Council should ensure all maps include a legend which identifies the land attributes where appropriate. The full title of the environmental planning instrument should be used for zoning, SEPPs and SREPs maps. 		
Community consult	Community consultation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consult	Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	Council proposes	a 28 day community consultation period. This is supported.	
Additional Director	General's requireme	ents	
Are there any additional	Director General's requi	rements? Yes	
If Yes, reasons :	PROJECT TIMELIN Council anticipates	IE s the plan will be finalised by March 2015 (approximately 10 months).	
	DELEGATIONS		

Council has requested delegations to make the plan. The planning proposal seeks to include a spot rezoning and some additional permitted uses related to the tourist facility. It is considered these are minor local matters and Council should be granted delegation to make the plan

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : February 2014

Comments in Gosford Local Environmental Plan 2014 (Gosford LEP) was notified on 11 February 2014. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningCouncil has advised the planning proposal is not the result of a strategic study or reportproposal :however considers proposal is justified given the economic benefits that the tourist facility
contributes to the regional economy and to allow the ongoing development of the facility.

Council has advised the current reliance on existing use rights and associated complex approval process does not allow the facility to grow and develop as new nature based outdoor recreation opportunities emerge.

 Council has identified a number of actions in the CCRS that are relevant to the proposal amework : appropriately zoning land of high landscape value management of tourist activities to minimise potential for land use conflict and environmental impacts. Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on sile in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCR8. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. Community Strategy (Plan - Cosford 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) Policy D2.02. Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushiand in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushiand. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 54 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1108ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are activities fram advisely to contaminated nevever has identified of the uses of subject to assessment orde	Consistency with	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS)
 appropriately zoning land of high landscape value management of tourist activities to minimise potential for land use conflict and environmental impacts. Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advise the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushiand in Urban Aress Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP wincin gives priority to relatining bushiand. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 75 — Remediation of Land Council has identified apriculture or horticulture activities are activities that additional uses an	trategic planning	Council has identified a number of actions in the CCRS that are relevant to the proposal:
 management of tourist activities to minimise potential for land use conflict and environmental impacts. Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. Commity Strategic Plan - Gostord 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy consistent Biodiversity Strategy consistent Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habital individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and forain has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminate however has identified other uses will be subject to assessment under development has justicative consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coasta	amework :	
environmental impacts. Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE EnviRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushiand in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushiand. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habital Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should atisty itseff the site is sublist for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Constal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Cre		
Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets Community Strategic Plan - Gostord 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategic Plan - Gostord 2025 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategic Consistent) - Biodiversity Strategic Consistent Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushiand. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 14 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1108ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application on Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Seme of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP) the consent authority must consider tappication under claus		
creation and also maintain environmental objectives. Council was asked to clarify the number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and it advised it would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permiss uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2026 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategi (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategi (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application on cue uses and locations are activities that may cause contaminate however has identified ofter uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itseff the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council should satisfy itseff the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consisters will be subject to asasessment however matters for consideration under c		environmentai impacio.
number of expected jobs that would be created by the proposal and It advised It would depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2025 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habital Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause § sh		Council has advised the proposal will assist council in meeting targets associated with job
depend to the type of activities that will operate on site in the future. Council also advis the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permiss uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2025 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive I		
the site currently employs 25 full time and 80 part time staff. The expansion in permissi uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habital Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music restivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries)		
uses would assist in increasing the level of employment self containment in the region is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gasford 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itset the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerat		
is consistent with actions identified in the CCRS. COUNCIL STRATEGIES Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2026 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detailed assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy Itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather		
Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategic Plan Gosford 2025 (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rater than rezonings		
assessment of consistency with each strategy is provided in brackets. - Community Strategy (consistent) - Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) - Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itseff the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tital. Council should satisfy itseff the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tital. Council should suits the site is consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than recomings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally signific		COUNCIL STRATEGIES
 Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2025 (consistent) Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council solud satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13		Council has identified local strategies that are applicable to the proposal and Council's
 Biodiversity Strategy (consistent) Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause & should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider abplications rather than rezoni		
 Policy D2.02 - Rezoning of Land Zoned Rural Conservation 7(a) / E2 Environmental (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushiand in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Sme of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Caiga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to Impact future operations of these sites. 		
 (consistent) STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e., music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operation		
 SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Caiga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to Impact future operati		
 SEPP No 19 — Bushland in Urban Areas Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEP which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Caiga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to Impact future operati		STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs)
 which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detaile assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites. 		
assessment during the development stage. SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authorly must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		Council has advised the planning proposal is compatible with the objectives of the SEPP
 SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites. 		which gives priority to retaining bushland. The uses proposed will be subject to detailed
The planning proposal applies to a land area of 1106ha and contains a variety of habitat Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		assessment during the development stage.
Individual assessment of potential Koala habitat would be subject to assessment under development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinit two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
development application once uses and locations are identified. SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
Council has identified agriculture or horticulture activities are activities that may cause contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land
 contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to contaminated however has identified other uses may have caused contamination (i.e. music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites. 		
 music festivals). Council should satisfy itself the site is suitable for the additional uses and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites. 		contamination. Council has advised part of the land has been cleared and is unlikely to be
and rezoning and demonstrate consistency with the SEPP. SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
 SEPP No 71 — Coastal Protection Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites. 		
Some of the land is included in the coastal zone as it is in the vicinity of Propran Creek which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
which is tidal. Council considers future uses will be subject to assessment however matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to exhibition. SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by council when it
Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		prepares a draft LEP. Council should update its consideration of clause 8 prior to
must consider before determining an application. These considerations are related to development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007
development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		Council has identified a compatibility test (Clause 13 of the SEPP) the consent authority
two regionally significant resources (Calga Sands and Mount White) consultation with Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
Trade and Investment - Resources and Energy should occur given the additional uses proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		development applications rather than rezonings. However given the site is in the vicinity of
proposed have the potential to impact future operations of these sites.		
SPER No. 9. Control Coast Bistory Aroon		
SKEP NO 6 - Central Coast Plateau Areas		SREP No 8 - Central Coast Plateau Areas
The land is within the boundary of the SREP No 8 map. Council has provided an		
assessment against special provisions of clause 11 which requires consideration of		
		certain objectives when a draft LEP is prepared. The assessment is generally consistent with the clause's requirements however some land identified contains a small portion of

1A agricultural land class. Although the location of the additional uses is yet to be determined Council should consult with the Department of Primary Industries - NSW Agriculture given the site contains prime agricultural land.

SREP No 9—Extractive Industry (No 2—1995)

The site is within the vicinity of Mount White dimensional sandstone quarry and Calga Sand Quarry both identified as regionally significant resources in Schedule 1 of SREP No 9. Council has advised a DA was approved on the RU2 zoned part of lot 108 DP755221 for a 3 unit motel and four caravan park sites. Council has advised the addition of tourist and visitor accommodation use in the RU2 zone reflects the existing approved uses. Given the proximity to the regionally significant resources Council should consult with Trade and Investment - Mineral Resources and the Environment Protection Authority prior to exhibition as required under clause 15 given the potential of the uses to restrict the obtaining of deposits of extractive material.

SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)

Council has considered the requirements of the SREP. The site contains areas of wetlands and adjoins Popran National Park. Council is required to consult with OEH-National Parks and Wildlife Service under clause 6(2)(f) of the SREP.

S117 DIRECTIONS

The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all S117 Directions or they are not relevant except where discussed below:

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

The site is in the vicinity of Mount White dimensional sandstone quarry and Calga Sand Quarry and proposes additional permitted uses on RU2 and E2 zoned land. Given the uses proposed will include eco-tourist facilities, camping grounds and tourist and visitor accommodation it is considered Council consult with the Department of Primary Industries given the potential of land use conflict within the vicinity on the Quarry before consistency with the Direction can be determined.

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

The proposal reduces environmental protection standards by rezoning some land from E2 to RU2. Council has advised it will zone only the cleared parts of the site RU2. Council should consult with OEH prior to addressing consistency with the Direction.

2.3 Heritage Conservation

Council has advised there are three environmental heritage items on the subject land and expects that aboriginal archaeology may also be identified. Council has advised the heritage items and aboriginal archaeology are listed and protected under the provisions of Gosford LEP 2014 and the National Parks and Wildlife Act, 1974. Heritage matters will still be subject to assessment at the DA stage however Council should also consult with OEH and the Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council to confirm this approach is appropriate before addressing consistency with the Direction.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the Direction as it proposes an intensification of land uses on land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils and requires a study which considers the appropriateness of the change of land use. Gosford LEP 2014 contains a local provision to regulate development on land containing acid sulfate soils. Given the location and type of development is still to be determined and would be subject to assessment under a development application it is considered the Secretary could agree that the inconsistency with the Direction is of minor significance.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

The planning proposal will affect land that is mapped as bushfire prone and consultation with the RFS would need to occur before consistency with this Direction can be determined.

		on Land at olenworth valley		
	additional permitted inconsistency due t Council has advised	ovisions isal is inconsistent with this Direction a d uses in the E2 and RU2 zones. Counc to the unique characteristics of the land d other E2 land east of the M1 is well be to bushfire hazard and has extensive n	il has sought to justify the in Glenworth Valley. low the 40ha lot size,	
	would lead to increa	additional tourist and recreation faciliti ased conventional tourist accommodati ability of the E2 land to accommodate su	on, particularly in the coastal	
	landscape characte	t is generally located along main roads r. The additional tourist uses proposed ew within the site given the extensive la rist destination.	in the R2 zone could be located	
	constrained areas the inconsistency with the inconsistency withe inconsistency with the inconsistency with the inc	s of 1000ha and the additional uses can hroughout the site. It is considered the the Direction is of minor significance gi dditional permitted uses proposed in th	Secretary could agree the ven the ability of the site to	
Environmental social economic impacts :	and non threatened endangered ecologi detailed assessmen of the Threatened S	I there is expected to be high biodivers species given the proximity to three Na ical community that occur along Poprar t would occur at the DA stage and woul pecies Conservation Act. Given specific hould consult with OEH to confirm whe	ational Parks and areas of and n Creek. Council has identified Id be subject to the provisions c locations for uses are	
		ssues with Waste Management, Noise, natters that can be considered under th ations for the site.	-	
	uses will accommod uses is likely to prov numbers. Although	I Glenworth Valley is a major tourist dea date activities consistent with nature ba vide additional economic activity on sit the main access into the site is from C nd Council should consult with Roads a	sed activities. The increase in e through increased visitor ooks Road this is accessed off	
Assessment Proces	SS			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Department of Office of Environment NSW Rural Fire Serv	Primary Industries - Agriculture Primary Industries - Minerals and Petro nt and Heritage - NSW National Parks a		
				_

ezoning and Additional Permitted Uses on Land at Glenworth Valley		
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?	Νο	
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :		
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :		
Identify any additional studies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reasons :		
Identify any internal consultations, if required	:	
No internal consultation required		
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :		

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Updated Covering Letter .pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Updated Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Updated Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Updated Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Additional Information.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment_4Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation _of_plandoc	Determination Document	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	The planning proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions: 1. Prior to public exhibition, Council should consider including 45 Cooks Road, Calga (Lot A DP365595) and update the planning proposal accordingly.
z	2. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal shall be updated by identifying in the 'explanation of provisions' the planning proposal applies to land in the suburb of Glenworth Valley and Calga;

	3. Update the mapping prior to public exhibition as follows:
	 Council update Appendix 2 Existing Zoning Map and use the current zones under Gosford LEP 2014.
	Council delete Appendix 3 Proposed E2 Zoning under Draft Gosford LEP (as
	exhibited)from the planning proposal.
	Council should ensure all maps include a legend which identifies the land attributes
	where appropriate.
	 include the full title of the relevant environmental planning instrument for zoning,
	SEPPs and SREPs maps.
	4. Council is to update the planning proposal to include sufficient information to
	adequately demonstrate consistency or justify any inconsistency with the below S117
	Directions and other relevant documents following agency consultation:
	 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land.
	SEPP 71 - Coastal Protection by addressing clause 8 matters.
	SREP No 8 - Central Coast Plateau Areas following consultation with the Department Set Drimony Industrian AISW A grigulture
	of Primary Industries - NSW Agriculture.
	 SREP No 9—Extractive Industry (No 2—1995) following consultation with Trade and Investment - Mineral Resources and the Environment Protection Authority
	SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)following consultation with
	OEH- National Parks and Wildlife Service
	5. The planning proposal be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days.
	6. Consultation is required with the following public authorities :
	Office of Environment and Heritage
	OEH - NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service
	NSW Rural Fire Service
	 Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services
	Environment Protection Authority
	 Trade and Investment - Mineral Resources and Energy
	 Trade and Investment - Crown Lands
	 Department of Primary Industries - Agriculture
	Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council
	7. A public hearing is not required.
	9. The timetrome for completing the LED is to be 9 menths from the week following the
	The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	uale of the Galeway uclefillination.
	9. Plan-making delegation should be delegated to Council for this planning proposal.
Supporting Reasons	*
	Dent 11 .
	g/Mohim
Signature:	
Printed Name:	610 PK INS Date: 16.7.2017
Finited Name.	Unur Dale.